App F IX 4

Previous References (1936) (M)

File No 59-36

Serial Nos 1, 6

Letter References

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos

Part B for November 1937

SUBJECT

Sub Committee Showing the Demand Collection & Balance of Taxes in the Several Municipalities of the Province of Quebec during the year ending 30th June 1938

WD 0295—GPB—22 500—3 8 37

11/11/37

1052

WD 03342 GPB—50 000—18 7 35

59-36-1

1 SEPT 1936

193

L & 1. BRANCH

From S Narayana Rao, Esq, M A, (Hons)
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District

To M Venkatanararappa, Esq, B A,
Secretary to Government
Development Department
Bangalore

Dated 28th August 1936

Despatched

Received

General No L3.Per 24 4/35
No

Enclosure No 1 Statement

Sir,

I have the honour to forward herewith for favour of information of the Government statement showing the Demand Collection & Balance of taxes in the several Municipalities of the District for the quarter ending 30th June 1936 with a copy of my review thereon

I have the horour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

S. Narayana Rao
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District

28 8 36

Subject

1) Under the heading "General" the D C has stated that out of the total demand of Rs 102,438/- including arrears, a sum of Rs 53681/- was collected, a sum of Rs 2630 remitted, leaving a balance of Rs 46,127/- There seems to be slight improvement in the total collections, Considering that the arrears of Rs 71,443 was outstanding was at the end of the quarter among 31 Municipalities

1052

D C's statement of the Shimoga Dt

1052

General & Revenue Secretariat | File No 89 of 1936-37 | [App F III-2

Serial No

2) The arrears outstanding are heavy in the Municipalities of Shimoga, Bhadravati, Chennagiri, Honnali and Shikarpur and the D C has issued instructions to the Presidents of these Mlties to pay special attention to reduce the same

3) A reference has already been made from this office (Vide Flag T in the linked file) calling for the explanations of officers responsible for slackness in collection for the quarter ending 31st March 1936. As no reply has yet been received for the same, if approved, the D C may be requested to forward the explanations of the officers responsible for poor collections both in the last quarter as well as the present ending 30th June 1936.

3/9/36

4) The return has also been sent two months late. This may perhaps be brought out to the D C

5) The arrears for the current quarter may be awaited. The D C has issued instructions

4/9/36

Dev Sing'

1969
4/9

6) May call for explanations from officers responsible for poor collections as suggested above

4/9/36

TYPING SECTION
9 SEP 1936
GENL & REV SECTT

Draft submitted for approval

9/9/36

9/9/36

5/9/36

Dy Sec'y to Govt
Bro 5349 (Secrets)
1 Sws A 1

The D C Shimoga Dt
No C 2031 D 16/9/36

Calls for a report regarding the circumstances which necessitated the write off of a large amount in the Bhadravati Munr Mlty

NOTE—Contn Sheet

16/9/36

D C & B Statement of the Several Pelkes in Shimoga Dt

File No 1052 / 4407 / L of 193

General and Revenue Secretariat

Letter No RB 135 C 552/36 dated 24th March 1937 from the Dy Comr Shimoga Dt, forwarding his report regarding the Writing Off of a very large amt from the accounts of the Bhadravati Minor Mkt

2) Kind reference is invited to this Office letter No L 2031 dated 10th Sept 1936 calling for a further report on the matter

It will be seen from the statement put up showing the D C and Balance of the Ml taxes in Shimoga Dt for the quarter ending 30th June 1936 (Vide flag 'O'), that the Bhadravati Minor Ml Cl have written Off a sum of Rs 1647/- from the annual demand

3) The Dy Comr has explained in the P U D the circumstances under which the Ml Cl represented, remitted a sum of Rs 1030/- in the case of a Contractor who had taken the right of selling Mutton in the Ml Mutton Stalls for a sum of Rs 2230/- During the year 1935 36 the details regarding the writing Off of the remaining sum of Rs 617/- have not been furnished

4) Under Section 33 (1) of the Minor Pelkes Regn 1933 the Minor Ml Cl is Self Competent to compound in respect of any claim or demand arising out of any Contract entered into under this Regn for such sum of money or other

X Flag 'F'

Writing off large amounts from the
demand of the Bhadravati miner

Serial No

Compensation as shall be deemed sufficient
10) the the ML dl have invoked the aid of
this Section for their action and if approved
the Dy Comr Shimoga will be requested
to instruct the ML dl. that in future
such large amounts should not be
remitted and that all legal remedies
available must be enforced to recover
the same The letter (report) will, if
WC for 1/4/37 approved, be recorded with the
above observation

11 The Dy. may perhaps be asked
(to furnish details for the balance
of Rs 617/- written off as per statement
to make up the Rs 1617/- letter

2/4/37

7070/2/4 Dy Secy) 7/4/37

7/4/37

13 A draft letter to the D C Shimoga Dh
as put up for approval

WC for 8/4/37 14 Dec 8/4/37

The D C Shimoga Dh shimoga
No L7/369 dated 10/4/37.
Calls for further details regarding the
Remission

1 SWS A
WC
NOTE—Contn Sheet 3/4/37

9 APR 1937 GENL REV BR CTY

4407 V 2334

File No 57 of 1936	General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

From The Dy Commr Shimoga Dt
No MB 135 C 552/36 Dt 4-11-37
Forwards the reports called for giving details
of the sum of Rs 617/- written off -
The D C Shimoga Dt reports that the sum
of Rs 617/- Consists of two items of Rs 605/- & Rs 12/-
As regards Rs 605/- it is stated that
the Bhadravati minor mgr disposed of
certain vacant sites in the year 1930 and
one Mr Subbanna purchased one of the sites
for Rs 1405/- at the sale held on 5-5-30 but
as he failed to pay the bid amount the site
was re-auctioned and it fetched only Rs 800/-
resulting in a loss of Rs 605/- Dt
Dt is this amount that has been written off the
accounts as being irrecoverable -
It is also stated that all possible steps were
taken to recover the sum but in vain A civil
suit was also filed in the Additional Munsiff
Court at Shimoga & the Suit was dismissed
A copy of the judgment is sent up for "B"
perusal
The second item of Rs 12/- represents the
Ground rent which was waived by the mgr
Submitted for perusal & orders

9/11/37 for

For perusal & orders as to the action to be taken necessary

9/11/-

(Dirty 455)

10/11

8/11/37

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District,

No L3 131 Per 24 4/36-37 Dated Shimoga 28th August 1936

Review on the statements showing the Demand, Collection & Balance of taxes in the several Municipalities of the Shimoga District for the quarter ending 30th June 1936

1 The statements from the Thirthahalli, Sumsi & Nagar Municipalities were received in this office after considerable delay as shown in the margin The Presidents are requested to avoid such inordinate delay in the submission of the statements in future

Thirthahalli 28 7 36
Sumsi — 28 7 36
Hosanagar 13 8 36

2 Octroi The total revenue of the several Municipalities under the head amounted to Rs 8888/- during the quarter as against Rs 8510/- in the previous quarter as shown in the margin There is some improvement in the revenue in the Municipalities of Shimoga, Honnali & Nyamati when compared with the income in the previous quarter while there is a decline in Bhadravati Shiralkoppa & Thirthahalli There is considerable scope for improvement in the Shimoga, Bhadravati, Shiralkoppa, Sorab & Thirthahalli Municipalities The income derived in Shikarpur & Sagar Municipalities is almost the same as in the previous quarter The Presidents of the several Municipalities are requested to take necessary steps for improving the revenue by checking smuggling & exercising proper control over the collecting agency

	Income during the quarter ending 30th June 36	30th March 36
Shimoga	40,79	3846
Bhadravati	641	765
Channagiri	187	175
Honnali	688	389
Nyamati	448	406
Shikarpur	188	186
Shiralkoppa	366	400
Sorab	138	103
Sagar	1005	1004
Nagar	152	155
Thirthahalli	996	1081
Total	8888	8510

3 Assessed taxes A sum of Rs 62,705/- was outstanding at the commencement of the quarter and a sum of Rs 4050/- was brought on demand during the quarter bringing the total demand to Rs 66,755/- Out of which a sum of Rs 26,327/- was recovered and a sum of Rs 919/- was written off The balance to be recovered at the end of the quarter was Rs 39,509/- The total percentage of collection for the district during the quarter was 40 8 as against 17 2 and 9 7 respectively in the previous two quarters The collection work was satisfactory in the Sagar Municipality where 81 9 percent of the demand was collected and it was also fair in the Shikarpur Town as 61 7 percent was collected The percentage of collection in Nyamati was 56 4 & 54 1 in Hosnagar and it was below 50 percent in/

remaining Municipalities The collection work in the marginally noted

Bhadravati	14 7	Percent
Sorab	27 7	"
Thirthahalli	10 3	"

(Municipalities during the quarter was unsatisfactory and thoroughly disappointing, the percentage reached being even less than 30 percent The Presidents of these Municipalities are requested to submit a detailed report explaining the reasons for the poor progress of collection and as to what steps they have taken to recover the outstanding arrears and what disciplinary action has been taken against the collecting Agency The arrears outstanding are very heavy in the Municipalities of Shimoga (11258) Bhadravati (5900) Thirthahalli (5724) Honnali (3499) & Channagiri (3095)and special attention on the part of the Presidents is needed to reduce these heavy arrears

Other taxes

The total demand including arrears under the head was Rs 26795/- of which a sum of Rs 18,464/- was collected and a sum of Rs 1711/- was written off as irrecoverable leaving a balance of Rs 6618/- It is seen that a sum of Rs 1647/- has been written off in the Bhadravati Municipality The reasons for writing off such a large amount have not been explained nor have details of the cases been reported The President is requested to submit a detailed report in the matter It is further seen that out of the sum of Rs 2605/- outstanding recovery under the head in the Bhadravati Municipality, a sum of Rs 2272/ relates to the claim for the years previous to 1935-36 Prompt action should be taken by the President for the collection of these heavy arrears, so that the same may not become irrecoverable by efflux of time The progress of collection under this head is unsatisfactory in the Thirthahalli Municipality Out of the total demand of Rs 2995/- only a sum of Rs 357/- has been collected leaving a balance of Rs 2638/- of which Rs 1636/- represents the arrears of previous years Inspite of the observation made in the review of the D C B statements for the last quarter, the President has not paid attention to the recovery of the heavy arrears This is unsatisfactory His attention is again drawn to the heavy arrears outstanding in the Municipality and he is requested to pay his personal attention to improve collection

General

Out of the total demand of Rs 102,436/- including arrears under all the heads, a sum of Rs 53,681/- was recovered during the quarter and a sum of Rs 2630/- was written off the accounts, leaving a balance of Rs 46,127/- as against Rs 71,443/- which was outstanding at the commencement of the quarter under review

As already stated the arrears outstanding are heavy in Shimoga Bhadravati, Thirthahalli Honnali & Channagiri Municipalities and the Presidents are requested to pay special attention to reduce the same

Sd/- S Narayana Rao,
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District

Copy "

Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District
28 8 36

Statement showing the Demand, Collection and bala

	Details	Figures fo			
		Shimoga	Bhadravati	Chennagiri	Honnali
Octroi	Demand	4 079	641	187	688
	Collection	4 079	641	187	688
	Balance	-		-	
Assessed taxes	Arrears brought forward	19 642	6 995	4 548	3 703
	Demand during the Quarter	1 010			1 325
	Total demand including arrears	20 652	6 995	4 548	5 028
	Collection: For the current year	9 012	734	1,254	1 115
	Collection: For the last year 1934-35	275	167	154	270
	Collection: For the last but one year i.e 1933-34	49	134	21	89
	Collection: For the remaining years	58	-	10	23
	Collection: Total	9 394	1,035	1 439	1 497
	Remissions and Writes off	-	-	14	32
	Balance: For the current year i.e 1935 36	7 166	1 844	1 502	1 134
	Balance: For the last year 1934-35	1 356	-	658	961
	Balance: For the last but one year i.e 1933 34	618	4 116	436	- 778
	Balance: For the remaining years	2,118	-	499	- 625
	Balance: Total	11 258	5,960	3 095	3 499
Other taxes and miscellaneous	Arrears brought forward	271	4 008	-	43
	Demand during the quarter	5038	4028	84	526
	Total demand including Arrears	5,309	8036	84	569
	Collection: For the current year	4 848	- 3781	84	496
	Collection: For the previous years	201	3		15
	Collection: Total	5,049	3 784	84	511
	Remissions & Writes off -	-	1647	-	-
	Balance: For the Current year	190	333	-	31
	Balance: For the previous years	70	2 272		27
	Balance: Total	260	2605	-	58
	Demand including arrears -	30 040	15 672	4 819	6,285
	Collection	18 522	5,460	1 710	2,696
	Remission	- -	1,647	14	32
	Balance -	11 518	8 565	3,095	3 557

Municipal taxes in the Shimoga District during the quarter ending 30th June 1976

Municipality for the quarter under report	Shikaripur	Shival Koppa	Sorab	Sagar	Hosa-nagar	Thirthahalli	Kumsi	Grand total
	188	366	138	1005	152	996	-	8888
	188	366	138	1005	152	996		8888
			-	-			-	
	3907	4,585	1565	4839	928	6387	2280	62705
		86	314	889	395	-	31	4,055
	3,907	4671	1879	5,728	1323	6387	2231	66,755
	1,253	920	372	4592	649	525	598	22926
	213	930	71	54	68	111	68	2381
	534	-	25	11		17	8	888
	-		12	19	-	10	-	132
	2000	1850	480	4676	717	663	674	26327
	410	115	41	20	-	-	267	919
	584	1095	719	788	316	1857	763	18562
	591	1,617	263	8	77	1,092	363	7680
	322	-	218	6	64	718	102	7379
-	-	-	158	230	149	2057	62	5,898
	1497	2706	1358	1032	605	5724	1290	39509
	292	53	449	895	22	2641	-	8732
	1,634	952	262	4838	13	354	256	18063
	1926	1007	711	5733	35	2995	256	26795
	1840	1,007	338	5062	17	351	256	18156
	76	-	8	-	1	6	-	310
	1766	1007	346	5,062	18	357	256	18466
	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	1711
-	4	-	53		11	1002	-	1624
-	-	-	312	671	6	1636	-	4994
	4	-	365	671	17	2638	-	6618
	6021	6044	2728	12466	1510	10378	2487	102438
	4104	3223	964	10743	887	2016	930	53681
	416	115	41	20	-	-	267	2630
	1501	2706	1723	1703	623	8362	1290	46127

Sd/- Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

30/7/76

Letter No 2031/M1 59-36 dated 10th September 1936, from the Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Bangalore, to the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, Shimoga

---

With reference to your letter No M3 Per 24 4/35 dated 28th Augugust 1936, forwardinga copy of your review on the Demand, Collect and Balance statement on the several Municipalities of the Shimoga District, for the quarter ending 30th June 1936, I am directed to observe that a very large amount has been allowed to be written off from the demand of the Bhadravati Minor Municipal Council and to request you to obtain and forward a report furnishing details and explaining the circumstances which necessiated this write off.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District,
No M3 131 Per 24 4/35 Dated Shimoga 24th September 1936

Memo

Copy of the above is forwarded to the President, Bhadravathi Municipality, for information He is requested to submit a detailed report with the connected records, extracts from the Council s resulitions etc The matter should be treated as urgent

I Syedabdulah

For Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District

Appendix F (G—1]

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 14/9/36

Signed by K BP

List of enclosures

Copied by 14/9/36

Examined by

Section ML

File No

Serial No

No L 2031

To

The D C Shimoga Dt

Shimoga

Sir,

With reference to your letter No M3 Per 244/35 dated 28th Aug 1936, forwarding a copy of your Memo on the D C L B Statement of the Revenue & Miscl of the Shimoga Dt, for the quarter ending 30th June 1936, I am directed to observe that a very large amount has been allowed to be written off, from the demand of the Bhadravati minor ML & LL and to request you to forward a report explaining the circumstances which necessitated this write-off

~~Upward bound~~

I have the
~~Song~~ 2/9/61
for Secy to /SS
from Dewey

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat 14

No L 2037 *Dated Bangalore* 10th September 193-6

From 2037-36

The *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*
Development Department

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District, SHIMOGA

Sir

With reference to your letter No M 3 Per 24 4/35 dated 28th August 1936, forwarding a copy of your review on the Demand, Collection and Balance statement of the several Municipalities of the Shimoga District, for the quarter ending 30th June 1936, I am directed to observe that a very large amount has been allowed to be written off, from the demand of the Bhadravati Minor Municipal Council and to request you to obtain and forward a report furnishing details and explaining the circumstances which necessitated this write off

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Secretary to Government,
Development Department

mk

No M3.135 & 552/36
Enc -One file

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
SHIMOGA DISTRICT

Dated Shimoga, 24th March *1937*

4407

FROM

S NARAYANA RAO, ESQ, M A (HONS)
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District

M Venkatanaranappa, Esq, B A,
Secretary to Government,
Development Department,
Bangalore

SIR

With reference to your letter No 2031/Ml 59-36 dated the 10th September 1936 observing that a very large amount has been allowed to be written off from the demand of the Bhadravati Minor Municipal Council during the quarter ending 30th June 1936 and requesting me to obtain and forward a report furnishing details and explaining the circumstances which necessiated the write off in question, I have the honour to forward herewith report No BMC 143/36 dated 26th February 1937 received from the President, Bhadravati Municipality explaining the circumstances under which a sum of Rs 1030/ was remitted during the quarter under reference From the letter, it is seen that the right of vending Mutton in the Municipal stalls at Bhadravati for the year 1935-36 had been sold for Rs 2230/- to one Abdul Hukh, that consequent on the opening of a number of mutton stalls in the Mysore Iron Works area and in the villages of Jannapura and Hutha in close proximity to the Municipal limits, the Municipal contractor sustained heavy loss and that on his representation, the Municipal Council resolved to reduce the gutta amount to Rs 1200/-and remit the balance of Rs 1030/- under the powers vested in them under Section 33 (1) of the Minor Municipal Regulation, under the circumstances of the case, after ascertaining that the contractor had sustained a heavy loss The action of the Municipal

Council may in these circumstances be approved

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District

kkr

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 10/4/37

Signed by

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section Ml

File No 59 36

Serial No

No L 7369/Revds

To

The D C Dhrangadra

Sir,

With refce to the Correspondence ending with your letter NO M3 B5 C/3 dated 24th March 1937, intimating the Circumstances under which the Bhadra vathi minor Ml Cl remitted a Sum of Rs 1030/- ~~due to them~~, I am directed to observe from the statement showing the D C and Balance of Municipal Revenues for the quarter ending 30th June 1936 that no Mcty have in all written off a total Sum of Rs 1647/- from their annual demand & to request you to furnish details for the ~~total~~ balance of Rs 6171

WD 03601—GPB—30 000—23 9-35

Written 6/4, as no mention is made
of it in Tom's letter under reply
The records accompany.
I have the
8/4/37
For reply to Tom's letter
see D's
8/4/37

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No L 7369/rul 59-36 *Dated Bangalore* 9th/10 April 1937

From

The *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*
Development Department

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

Sir

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No M3 135 C/36 dated 24th March 1937 intimating the circumstances under which the Bhadravati Minor Municipal Council remitted a sum of Rs 1030/- due to them I am directed to observe from the statement showing the Demand Collection and Balance of Municipal revenues for the quarter ending 30th June 1936 that the Municipality have in all written off a total sum of Rs 1647/- from their annual demand and to request you to furnish details for the balance of Rs 617/- written off as no mention is made of it in your letter under reply

The records accompany

I have the honor to be,

Sir

Your most obedient servant

For Secretary to Government
Development Department

c r 2

ML59-36-6 2384

No M3 155 ⌣ 552/56
Enc file

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
SHIMOGA DISTRICT

Dated Shimoga 4th November, *193* 7

FROM

T Ramaiya, Esq , B A ,(Hons),
~~S.NARAYANA RAO, ESQ., M.A. (HONS.)~~
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

13 NOV 1937

SECY LOCAL SELF GOVT 8 NOV 1937 GOVT OF MYSORE

To

P N Krishna Rao, Esq M
Secretary to Government,
Local Self Government Department
Bangalore

SIR

Subject - Details for amounts written off the accounts of the Bhadravathi Municipality during the quarter ending 30th June 1936

========

With reference to the Development Secretary's letter No L 7309/ML 59-36 dated 10th April 1937 requesting to furnish details for the balance of Rs 617/- written off from the annual demand of the Bhadravathi Municipality for the year 1935-36, I have the honour to forward herewith correspondence ending with letter C ML 145/32 dated 10-10-37 received from the President of the Bhadravathi Municipality submitting a report in the matter and to state that the sum of Rs 617/ referred to consists of two items of Rs 605/- and Rs 12/-

As regards the first item of Rs 605/- it is reported that the Municipal Council having taken steps to dispose of the available vacant sites by public auction in the year 1930, one Subbanna purchased one of the sites for Rs 1405/- at the sale held on 5-5-30 but failed to pay the bid amount and that when a re-sale of the site was held it fetched only Rs 800/- resulting in a loss of Rs 605/- It is this amount that has been written off the accounts being irrecoverable It is seen from the records that the attempts made to recover the amount of loss from the

first

first sale purchaser by the ordinary summary process having proved futile, a civil suit was filed by the Municipality for its recovery in the Additional Munsiff's Court at Shimoga and that the suit was dismissed on the 16th July 35 the suit has been dismissed on the ground that the second sale was not held as soon as it could have been held and and as such the Municipality is not entitled to the relief as stipulated in the contract

The second item of Rs 12/- written of the accounts represents the ground rent which was waived by the Municipality as the party purchased the site subsequently

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District

Sale and how the deposit amount was dealt with
The matter shd be treated as immediate & the
report sent in 5 days

L Channanaik
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga Dist

Mc 143
37 ಶಿಕ್ಷಿತಿಲ್ಲಿ ಬೆಂ, 30/9/37

ನದಂ ವೈಶ್ಯರ ಸುಬ್ಬಣ್ಣಸೆಟ್ರ ಮೇಲೆ ವಜ್ರಿದ ದಾವ ಜಡ್ತಿಮೊಲೆಕುಗಳು ಇಪ್ಪತ್ತೆರು (೨೬) ಇದರಿಂದಿಗೆ ಸನ್ನಿಧಿ ಪರಾಬರಿಂತೆ ಬಾಕ್ಸ್‌ಲಾನ್ಸ್ ರವಾನೆಕೊಂಡಿರುತ್ತೆ ಕರ್ಣಾಟ ೬-೬-೩೦ರಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆದ ಈ ಬೈಲಿನ ದಂಚಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಭಿನ್ನತಾದರು ಮತ್ತೆ ದಂಡಾಲುಪಟ್ಟಿ ನಿದು ಈ ಅಳುವನ್ನಲ್ಲಿರುವುದ್ದು ಇವುಗಳು ಪಟ್ಟ ದಾವಾ ಸಂಬಂಧಂದರ್ಜಿಯೂ ಸಿಫ್ಲೆ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಡಾಜರು ಮಾಡಿರುವಂತೆ ಕೋರಿಕೆ

ಈ ದಾವಾ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಮುನಿಸಿಫ್ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಡಾಜರು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ರಿಕಡಿನ್ನು ಪತ್ರ ಕೆಳಗುಕೊಂಡು ಈ ಅಳುವನ್ನೆ ರವಾನಿಸಿ ಬೇಕೆಂದು ಅಲಾಯರು ಲಾಯರಂಗೆಯವರು

ಬಿನ್ನಿಧಿ - ಈ ಅಳುವನ್ನಲ್ಲಿ ದಾವಾ ಇರತಕ್ಕೆ ಕರ್ಣಾಟ ೬-೬-೩೦ರಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆದ ದಂಡಾಲು ಪುಟ್ಟಿಯರು ನಕಲು ಒಂದು ಇದರಿಂದಿಗೆ ಸನ್ನಿಧಿ ದಾವಾ ಬಾಕ್ಸ್ ರವಾನೆಕೊಂಡಿರುತ್ತೆ

ನದಂ ದಂಡಾಲುದಾರ ಸುಬ್ಬಣ್ಣಸೆಟ್ಟಿನವರು ದಂಡಾಲು ಬಿಟ್ಟಿಗರು ಆದ ಕೂಡಲೆ ದಂಡಾಲು ಹೊಳ್ಳುಲಾಗ್ಯೆನ್ನೆ ಆಗಲಾ ಅಧಿಕಾ 1/4 ಭಾಗ ದಂಡೆಕಟ್ಟಿ ಹೊಳಲಾಗ್ಯೆನ್ನೆ ಆಗಲಾ ಪೂರ್ತಿ ದಂಡಾಲು ಪಡಿಸಿರುವಂತೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿನ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳಿಂದ ವ್ಯಕ್ತವಾಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿವರಿಸಿದೆ

Syedabdulla
ಪ್ರೆಸಿಡೆಂಟರು
18/10/37

M.C. 143
36-37

ಶಿವಲಿಂಗ್ಗ ಅಡ್ಡಿಪ್ಪೆಗೌಡ, ಪೆಪ್ಪಾಳ ಕಮುಪ್ಪುನಾಯಿಡರ
ವರ ಜನಾಬಾಗೆ

ಒಕ್ಕಲಿಗರ ಯುನಾನಿವೈದ್ಯಾಲಯ ಪ್ರೈವೇಟ್ ಲಿಮಿಟೆಡ್ ಕಂಪನಿಯವರಿಂದ ತಾ 6-8-36

ಈ ಯುನಾನಿವೈದ್ಯಾಲಯ ಬಾಬ್ತು 1936ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಆಗಸ್ಟ್
ತಿಂಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಕೈಬರಹದಲ್ಲಿ ವೆಚ್ಚಗಳು 1647/ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು
ನಿಮಿಷ್ಟೇ ಕೊಡಲಿಬರುವುದಾಗಿಯೂ ಈಚೆಗೆ ಮ್ಯಾನೇಜ್ಮೆಂಟ್ ಬಾಬ್ತು ಅಮೆರಿಕೆ
ವೆಚ್ಚುಗಳು 1203/ ಮತ್ತು ಜಾಕೆ ವೆಚ್ಚುಗಳು 617/- ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನೆ ತಲ್ಲುಗಳು
ರಿಪೋರ್ಟಿಂಗ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಇದ್ದವು ಅನ್ನುವಿರಿಂದ ನಂಬರ್ M3e 552-135 ನೇ
21-4-37
ರುಜುಂ ನಾರಣಾಗಿರುತ್ತೆ ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಏಂತೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದೆಂದರೆ

(1) ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಚೌಕರಬ್ಬ, ಇವರಿಗೆ ಸೈದು ಬೂರು ಕಾಯಿಮು ಕ 5 30 ರಲ್ಲಿ ನಾಲ್ಕು
ರುಂಜಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವೈಭೋಗ ನುಪ್ಪನ್ನಿ ಎಂಬುವರು ಪ್ರೀತಿ ವೆಚ್ಚುಗಳು 1407/ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳಿಗೆ
ಪಿಟಿಕಲು ಕೊಂಡು ರುಂಜಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಪ್ರೀತಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಪ್ರೀತಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವ
ವೆದರಿಂದ ಮುನರ್ಪರಂಭ ನಾಲ್ಕಿನ್ಯರಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾಲುಗಳು 800/ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳಿಗೆ ವಿಲ್ಲ
ವೆರಿ ಆಗಿರುತ್ತೆ ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಮುನರ್ಪರಂಭಾಗಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲ್ಪಿಯಾಗಿರುವ 600/ ರೂಪಾಯಿ
ರಲ್ಲಿ ಉಪ್ಪನು ಪಾಲುಗಳು ವಸೂಲಾಬಗ್ಗೆ ಇವರಹತ್ತೆಲೆ ದಾವನಡ್ಡಿರಲಾಗಿ ನಿಬ್ಬಾ,
ದಾವಾ ಕಾಯಿಮು 16 7 35 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಶಿವಲಿಂಗ್ಗ ಅಡಿಪ್ಪನಲ್ ಯುನಿವರ್ಸಿ
[illegible] ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ
ಈ ಬಾಬ್ತು ಉಳಿದ ಪಾಲುಗಳು 600/ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕ ನಂ 6 36 ನೇ
ಯುನಾನಿವಲ್ ಕೈನೆಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಮಿಷ್ಟೇ ಮುಟ್ಟಿಸಿರುತ್ತೆ"

(2) ಯುನಾನಿವಲ್ಲುಗೆ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಾಗಿರುವ ನವೆದಿನಂಬರ್ 2006 ರಲ್ಲಿ 1935 ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾರಾ ಗೆ ವೆಂಕಟಪ್ಪ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಯೆಂಬವರು ತೀರಿ ಹೋಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಇವರ
ಒರಬ್ಬಿಕಾದ ನೆಲಬಾತಿಕೆ ರೂ 12/ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಇವರಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ಭಾಗವನ್ನು
ರುಂಜಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಪಡರು ತೆಗೆದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಇವರು ಭಾಗ ಕೊಂಡು ಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಕಾರಣದಿಂದ
ಈ ಚೆಕಿನ ಪದ್ರ ನಿಷ್ಟೆಬಾದಿಗೆ 12/ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ನಿಮಿಷ್ಟೇ ಮಾಡಬಹುದಾಗಿ
ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಈ 3-6-36 ನೇ ಯುನಾನಿವಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ಮೀಟಿಂಗಲ್ಲಿ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಿಸಿರುತ್ತೆ ಈ ಬಾಬ್ತು
ಮೊಬಲಗು ಪ್ರೈವೇಟ್ ಅಕೌಂಟ್ಸ್ ಕೈಲು ಪಾಡ ಪಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರಬಾಕಿಗೆ ಬಾಬ್ತು ಲಗ್ತು ಇಟ್ಟೆ

Immediate

M m 3-135 c 552/36,
Enc 2

ಪರಿಹಾರಬಾಕಿಗೆ ಯಿಂದ ವಿನ್ನಿಮೇಳ್ಲಗತ ಮಾಲುಗಳು
ನಿಮಿಷ್ಟೇ ವಂಚಿಸಿರುವ ರುಜುಕ್ಕೆ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಿಯವರಹತ್ತೆ ಭಾಗವರ್ತಿ ಜಾಬಾರಿ

memo

* Returned to the President for immediate submission of the sale records referred to in para 2 of his report supra as to whether the party did not deposit in the Bank the amount of any part of the bid amount

Syed Abdul Ali

ಪ್ರೈವೇಟರಿಯ
ಭದ್ರವಾಸ ಮಲಿಸು

Proceedings

Proceedings of the meeting of the Bhadravathi Municipal council held on 20-6-37

× × ×

Subject no X

ಸುಬ್ಬಣ್ಣಸೆಟ್ಟಿರವರಿಗೆ ಮನೆ ಭಾಗವ ದುಪರ ದಾಜಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಲಂಚದ ಲುಪ್ಪಸಿನ ವಸೂಲಾತಿಯು ದರ್ಖಾ ಮಾಡಿರ್ದ ದಾವೆಯು ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಜಾಆಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸದ್ರಿಯವರ ಮೇಲೆ ನಿಂತಿರುವ ಬಾಕಿಯನ್ನು ರಿಯಿಷ್ಟೆ ಮಾಡುವ ವಿಚಾರ

Resolved that the amount may be remitted as the suit is dismissed

— × × × —

Subject no XI

ಸನ್ 1935-36 ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ ಮಳಿ ಪೈಪ್ಲಿನ್ ಕಂಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟರ ದ ಮಾರಿ ಅಬ್ಬುಲ್ ಹಕೆ ಸಾಹೆಬರಿಗೆ ರಿಯಿಷ್ಟೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಹೋಗಿರುವ ಕೆಮ್ಯುಲ್ಯೂಷನ್ ಲೀಕನ್ ಆರಿಷ್ಟೆ ವಿಚಾರ -

Resolved that a sum of Rs 1039-7 may be remitted as already resolved on 25-3-36

× × ×

Sd/ K Mahammad Ahamad

President
Municipal council
Bhadravathi

" Extracts

Syedabdulalu 20-6-37

President
Municipal council
Bhadravathi

1/8/37

Proceedings of the Meeting of the Bhadravathi Municipal council held on 25 3-1936

Subject no XII

× × ×

ಪೆಬ್ರವರಿ ನಂಬರ್ 206 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಜಾಗವನ್ನು ಕೊಂಡು ಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಚರ್ಚಿಸಿ ಪತ್ರ ಕ್ರಯ ಡೀಡಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ್ದನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಮು। ರಾ। ಜಿ ಲಿ ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಮಿಂಗವರು ಅರ್ಜಿ ಬರೆದು ಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ವಿಚಾರ

ಪದರಂ ಜಾಗವನ್ನು ಕೊಂಡು ಕೊಂಡ ಕ್ರಯಪತ್ರವ ಈಚಗೆ ನೆಲಬಾಡಿಗೆ ವಜಾ ಮಾತ್ರ ಬಿದ್ದರು ಅದರ ಆಕ್ರಯಪತ್ರವಾಗಿನ ಬಾಡಿಗೆ ಬಿಚ್ಚು ಮಾತ್ರ ಚೆನ್ನು

× × ×

S/d B P Nalappa
President
Municipal council
Bhadravathi

Extract

Syedabdulalee

President
Municipal council
Bhadravathi

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ ನಮ್ಮ ಕಂಪನಿಯ ಪಟ್ಟಿ.

ದಿನಾಂಕ 1-5-1930

ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೂಚಿಸಿರುವ ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಬರಾಜು ಮಾಡಲು ಸಿದ್ಧರಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳ ಬೆಲೆಯು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಕೆಲವು ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳ ಬೆಲೆಯು ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೂಚಿಸಿರುವ ಬೆಲೆಗಳನ್ನು ಗಮನಿಸಿ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ. ಈ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೂಚಿಸಿರುವ ಬೆಲೆಗಳು ಮಾರ್ಚ್ ತಿಂಗಳ ಕೊನೆಯವರೆಗೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಚಾಲ್ತಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುತ್ತವೆ.

ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳ ಹೆಸರು

ಅಕ್ಕಿ	1000 ಕೆ.ಜಿ.
ಬೇಳೆ	100 ಕೆ.ಜಿ.
ಎಣ್ಣೆ	150 ಲೀ.
ತುಪ್ಪ	250 ಲೀ.
ಹಿಟ್ಟು	300 ಕೆ.ಜಿ.
ಸಕ್ಕರೆ	400 ಕೆ.ಜಿ.
ಬೆಲ್ಲ	500 ಕೆ.ಜಿ.
ಉಪ್ಪು	600 ಕೆ.ಜಿ.
ಮೆಣಸಿನಕಾಯಿ	700 ಕೆ.ಜಿ.
ಕಾಳುಮೆಣಸು	800 ಕೆ.ಜಿ.
ಜೀರಿಗೆ	850 ಕೆ.ಜಿ.
ಸಾಸಿವೆ	900 ಕೆ.ಜಿ.
ಮೆಂತ್ಯ	950 ಕೆ.ಜಿ.
ಅರಿಶಿನ	960 ಕೆ.ಜಿ.
ಧನಿಯಾ	970 ಕೆ.ಜಿ.
ಗೋಡಂಬಿ	980 ಕೆ.ಜಿ.
ಬಾದಾಮಿ	1000 ಕೆ.ಜಿ.
ಪಿಸ್ತಾ	1025 ಕೆ.ಜಿ.
ಖರ್ಜೂರ	1030 ಕೆ.ಜಿ.
ದ್ರಾಕ್ಷಿ	1040 ಕೆ.ಜಿ.
ಅಂಜೂರ	1050 ಕೆ.ಜಿ.
ಒಣಕೊಬ್ಬರಿ	1060 ಕೆ.ಜಿ.
ಕಲ್ಲುಸಕ್ಕರೆ	1075 ಕೆ.ಜಿ.

1100
1110
1120
1125
1135
1140
1150
1155
1160
1175
1205
1210
1215
1220
1230
1235
1240
1250
1255
1265
1270
1275
1290



In the Court of the Additional
Munsiff of Shimoga
Original suit No 142/32-33
Dated 16th day of July 1935
Present V V Narayana murty Esqure
B A L L B Additional Munsiff

Plaintiff The Town Municipal
Council Bhadravathi by
its Vice president Mr
Iyer Bhadravathi

Defendant Vasyasa Subbanna
resident of Bhadravathi

Judgment

(1) Suit for Rs 762-2-0 on account
of alleged default in payment
of the full price of a site
sold by auction

2) The plaint was presented
on

MUNSIF
SHIMOGA

Copy applied for on the	Applicant told to appear on the	Applicant appeared on the	Copying stopped for want of copying sheets on the	Additional copying sheets supplied on the

Copy ready on the	Copy delivered on the	Copied by	Examined by



on 5-10-32 defendant's written statement was filed on 6/1/33 and plaintiff's Reply was filed on 3-2-33.

3) The suit came on for settlement of Issues and the following Issues were framed on 7-3-33

1. Was the plaintiff entitled to hold the resale after the interval which has elapsed?

2. Is the defendant liable to make good the deficit though the resale was not held immediately after the previous sale?

3 To what amount if any is the plaintiff entitled?

4) The suit came on for final hearing on 5-9-33 and

MUNSIF SHIMOGA

Copy applied for on the	Applicant told to appear on the	Applicant appeared on the	Copying stopped for want of copying sheets on the	Additional copying sheets supplied on the

Copy ready on the	Copy delivered on the	Copied by	Examined by

by adjournments on 10 7 35
Arguements were heard on 11 7 35
5) The list of witnesses Examined in the case and the list of documents admitted in evidence are filed separately.

3

6 The plaintiff municipality held an auction sale of a site, one of the terms being that the full amount of the final bid was to be paid at the time of sale and that on default the property should be subjected to resale immediately and that the defaulting purchaser should be liable for any deficiency in the price realised at the second sale

MUNSIFF'S COURT SHIMOGA

Copy applied for on the	Applicant told to appear on the	Applicant appeared on the	Copying stopped for want of copying sheets on the	Additional copying sheets supplied on the

Copy ready on the	Copy delivered on the	Copied by	Examined by



7 The defendant was the purchaser at the above sale held on 5-5-30, and the price was Rs 1405/- The plaintiffs' case is that the defendant failed to pay the amount that the sale was therefore cancelled and a resale ordered, that the second sale was held on 26-7-30 and fetched only Rs 800/- The suit is to recover the deficiency of Rs 605/- with interest at 1 percent per mensem from the defendant

4

8 The defendant has pleaded as follows The defendant did not know the terms of the sale alleged in the plaint previous

Copy applied for on the	Applicant told to appear on the	Applicant appeared on the	Copying stopped for want of copying sheets on the	Additional copying sheets supplied on the

Copy ready on the	Copy delivered on the	Copied by	Examined by

previous to the close of the sale. That term is therefore not binding on the defendant. The defendant tendered Rs 800/- immediately after the close of the sale and asked for a few hours time for the balance but the President of the municipal Council refused the same and refused to receive the full amount which was tendered on the following day. Even if the court should hold that the terms of the sale are binding on the defendant the plaintiff has no right to claim the defrenency alleged, as there was long delay ~~in~~ before the

5

MUNICIPAL OFFICE SHIMOGA

Copy applied for on the	Applicant told to appear on the	Applicant appeared on the	Copying stopped for want of copying sheets on the	Additional copying sheets supplied on the

Copy ready on the	Copy delivered on the	Copied by	Examined by



6

resale was held the second sale was held contrary to the terms of proclamation of the first sale the sanction of the Government was not obtained to the sales and they are therefore invalid there is no cause of action against the defendant Interest cannot be claimed

6

9 The main point in this case is whether the plaintiff can hold the defendant liable for the deferent in price though the second sale was held 2 months and 21 days after the first sale Exhibit A is the proclamation for the first-

sale

Copy applied for on the	Applicant told to appear on the	Applicant appeared on the	Copying stopped for want of copying sheets on the	Additional copying sheets supplied on the

Copy ready on the	Copy delivered on the	Copied by	Examined by



sale and it provides that if the full amount of the final bid is not tendered at once, the property should be subjected to resale immediately and that and any defect in price should be made good by the defaulting purchaser we have to consider how the word "immediately" in the above terms has to be interpreted. The learned advocate for the plaintiff has cited the Empire Digest (Vol 12 P 309 paras 2550 and 2551 and notes thereunder) according to which a contract to be performed directly means to be performed not

SHIMOGA

Copy applied for on the	Applicant told to appear on the	Appli ant appeared on the	Copying stopped for want of copying sheets on the	Additional copying sheets supplied on the

Copy ready on the	Copy delivered on the	Copied by	Examined by



8

not "within a reasonable time but speedily or at least "as soon as practicable." In the first foot note on page 309 a case is cited in which a term of the contract was that payment of the price should be arranged at once It was held that two weeks was not an unreasonable time within which the money could be paid, as there was a letter sent by the Seller enquiring when payment would be made

8/

10 Applying the above authorities to the present case, I think the word "immediately"

MUNSIFF'S CT
SHIMOGA

Copy applied for on the	Applicant told to appear on the	Applicant appeared on the	Copying stopped for want of copying sheets on the	Additional copying sheets supplied on the

Copy ready on the	Copy delivered on the	Copied by	Examined by

used in connection with the making of the property subject to resale has to be interpreted as meaning "as soon as practicable." We may take it that a proclamation was necessary before the property could be sold again but there was no law or rule requiring that the proclamation should be published so as to leave a definite minimum interval of time before the date of resale. In Exhibit A. the interval of time allowed is 11 days and in Exhibit 7 the proclamation for the second sale

the

MUNSIF SHIMOGA

Copy applied for on the	Applicant told to appear on the	Applicant appeared on the	Copying stopped for want of copying sheets on the	Additional copying sheets supplied on the

Copy ready on the	Copy delivered on the	Copied by	Examined by



the interval is only 8 days These proclamations therefore show that the second sale could have been held ~~in~~ ~~to~~ within a few days or not more than a week from the date of the publication. There was no good reason as to why the proclamation could not have been issued on the very next day after the first sale The President of the municipality Mr Chellappa Iyer (plaintiff witness) has deposed that he ordered a fresh sale as per Exhibit E (3) which is dated 6 5 1930 and there is also an order for a

10

SHIMOGA

Copy applied for on the	Applicant told to appear on the	Applicant appeared on the	Copying stopped for want of copying sheets on the	Additional copying sheets supplied on the

Copy ready on the	Copy delivered on the	Copied by	Examined by

11

fresh proclamation being issued on the same date But the proclamation should of was signed only on 18 7 30, 2 months and 12 days after the above order was made. This witness has ascribed the delay in holding the sale to the fact that the month of June was a very busy month and to the fact that he had to inspect some lands. These reasons might have been good so far as his responsibility to the municipal Council was concerned but they are not sufficient reasons for absolving the plaintiff municipality from its obligations to

11

MUNSIFF
SHIMOGA

the

Copy applied for on the	Applicant told to appear on the	Applicant appeared on the	Copying stopped for want of copying sheets on the	Additional copying sheets supplied on the

Copy ready on the	Copy delivered on the	Copied by	Examined by

12

the defendant connected with the suit transaction of the President was busy the municipality ought to have entrusted the work of holding the second sale to some other officer or made some other suitable arrangement further no reason is given by the above witness as to why the sale could not have been held in may 1930, before he got so very busy in june we are justified in assuming therefore that it was practicable to hold the second sale by the middle of May 1930, This was not done

11 In Nanjappa & Ramaswami (16 Mecr 135) it has been clearly

Copy applied for on the	Applicant told to appear on the	Applicant appeared on the	Copying stopped for want of copying sheets on the	Additional copying sheets supplied on the

Copy ready on the	Copy delivered on the	Copied by	Examined by



15

5-

clearly laid down that in the event of a contract for sale of immoveable property being broken neither party can claim Compensation for the breach except upon proof that he performed the obligations which devolved on him. This principal is applicable to the present case also and since the ~~obligations~~ Plaintiff did not perform the obligation of holding the second sale as soon as it could have been held after the cancellation of the first sale the plaintiff is not entitled to the Compensation stipulated in the contract In Singri Nanjappa & Brahmaswa

13

SHIMOGA

Copy applied for on the	Applicant to call to appear on the	Applicant appeared on the	Copying stopped for want of copying sheets on the	Additional sheets

Copy ready on the	Copy delivered on the	Copied by	Examined by

14

(34 M C C R 346) it has been laid down that the price obtained by resale within a reasonable time is presumptive evidence of the market price but not the price obtained after a delay during which the price might have materially altered. also that if no evidence is given of any loss on the market price the damages as regards the value of the goods are nominal. There is some evidence on the defendant side (Vide defendant witness 1) to the effect that the price of sales fell after June 1930 This is not rebutted by any evidence

16

on

Copy applied for on the	Applicant told to appear on the	Applicant appeared on the	Copying stopped for want of copying sheets on the	Additional charges sheets supplied on the

Copy ready on the	Copy delivered on the	Copied by	Examined by

on the plaintiffs' side The price fetched at the second sale cannot therefore be taken as the market Value at the time of the first sale or at the time when the second sale could have been Validly held The plaintiff has not addduced any

15 evidence to show what the market price was on the date of the first sale or within the period after the same which was reasonable for holding a second sale. Nor has any claim been made or pressed for relief on the basis of such market price

12 It is contended for the

TWO ANNAS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

16

plaintiff that the defendant in the petition exhibit A has taken up an attitude which is quite inconsistent with the ~~one~~ one taken by him in his suit. It is no doubt true that the defendant has not mentioned the delay in holding the sale as a reason for his being absolved from liability in exhibit A, but has put forward other reasons which seem to be more or less flimsy But no estoppel has been pleaded on this ground by the plaintiff and as the failure to mention the above reason cannot amount to

16/

a

representation which can be supposed to have led the plaintiff to alter his position, no estoppel can arise the fact that the defendant did not urge the above reason in Exhibit A cannot take away his right to urge that reason in this suit if he is so advised

13 The defendant has examined 2 witnesses to show that he tendered Rs 800/- on the day of the sale and Rs 1405/- on the next morning to the president of the municipality This evidence is of no use to the defendant as the tender

Copy applied for on the	Applicant told to appear on the	Applicant appeared on the	Copying stopped for want of copying sheets on the	Additional copying sheets supplied on the

Copy ready on the	Copy delivered on the	Copied by	Examined by



18

lender of the full amount
even if time, on the next
morning, was not a Valid
lender according to the terms
of the sale But it is ---
important to note that the
18 defendant has not alleged
in his application Exhibit-A
that he made a lender of
Rs 1405/- on the next morning,
though he has mentioned
various other details. I am
therefore satisfied that the
alleged lender of Rs 1405/-
is false. It is also significant that though the defendant pleaded that the president refused to give a few hours time.

Copy applied for on the	Applicant told to appear on the	Applicant appeared on the	Copying stopped for want of copying sheets on the	Additional copying sheets supplied on the

Copy ready on the	Copy delivered on the	Copied by	Examined by

time for payment of the full amount on the date of the sale, the defendant's witness Gurumurthi Rao has deposed (plaintiff witness) that the President said that the defendant might pay the amount the next morning. It is clear that the defendant and his witnesses have deposed falsely on this point

19

14 For the reasons already given I find on issue (1) that the plaintiff was not entitled to hold the resale after the interval which has elapsed

and on issue (2) that the

Copy applied for on the	Applicant told to appear on the	Applicant appeared on the	Copying stopped for want of copying sheets on the	Additional copying sheets supplied on the

Copy ready on the	Copy delivered on the	Copied by	Examined by

20

the defendant is not liable to make good the deficit in price fetched by the resale, and on issue (3) that the plaintiff is not entitled to any amount.

15 As the defendant has made use of false evidence I deprive him of cost

16 The suit is dismissed No cost

Pronounced in open Court on 16 7 35

(Signed) H D Narayana murty
Additional Munsiff

True copy
H D Narayana murty
Munsiff

MUNSIF SHIMOGA

Applicant told

Copy applied for on the	Applicant told to appear on the	Applicant appeared on the	Copying stopped for want of copying sheets on the	~~Additional~~ copying sheets supplied on the
18-7-35	26/8/35	26/8/35	on 20-7-35 to produce sketch by 30/7/35	16/8/35

Copy ready on the	Copy delivered on the	Copied by	Examined by
2E 8/35	26/8/35		

TWO ANNAS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

21

In the court of the Additional
Munsiff of Shimoga
Original Suit No 142/32-33,
Plaintiff Bhadravathi municipality
Defendant Subbamma & ors.
List of witnesses Examined.

For plaintiff

(1) Mr C K Challappa Iyer

For Defendant:

(1) Gurumurthi Rao
(2) Pandurangappa
(3) Subbamma (Defendant)

(50) N & N

Additional Munsiff.
10/35

True copy
Mr Munsiff
Headmunshi

MUNSIFF
SHIMOGA

Copy applied for on the	Applicant told to appear on the	Applicant appeared on the	Copying stopped for want of copying sheets on the	Additional copying sheets supplied on the
18/7/35	26/8/35	26/8/35	—	—

Copy ready on the	Copy delivered on the	Copied by	Examined by
26/8/35	26/8/35		

92

On the court of the Additional
Munsiff of Shimoga
Original suit No 142/32-33
Plaintiff Bhadravathi municipality
Defendant Subbanna sedy
List of documents admitted
Exhibit A. Sale Proclamation 5-9 33
Exhibit B. — do — do — do
Exhibit C. — do — do — do
Exhibit D. — do — do — do
Exhibit E. Sale list — — do
Exhibit E (1) Remarks in E, — do
SHIMOGA
Exhibit E (2) Handwriting of
clerk in Exhibit E. — do
Exhibit E (3) Portion of showing orders
of fresh sale — do
Exhibit F. Proclamation — do
Exhibit G Sale list — do

Copy applied for on the	Applicant told to appear on the	Applicant appeared on the	Copying stopped for want of copying sheets on the	Additional copying sheets supplied on the

Copy ready on the	Copy delivered on the	Copied by	Examined by

ZB

Exhibit A. Petition given
by defendant — do —
Exhibit J notice — do —
Exhibit K. Reply — do —

(sd) H D N
Additional Munsiff
11 7 35

True Copy

Headmuns[illegible]

Copy applied for on the	Applicant told to appear on the	Applicant appeared on the	Copying stopped for want of copying sheets on the	Additional copying sheets supplied on the
18/7/35	26/8/35	26/8/35	—	—

Copy ready on the	Copy delivered on the	Copied by	Examined by
26/8/35	26/8/35	[illegible]	[illegible]

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಸಾಲ್ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಮೇ. 34ನೇ ಶ್ರೀಕರಣ ನಮಃ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ
15ಜುಲೈ-
ಶೆ ನಮೋನಮಃ ಮಹಾಸಭೆಯ ಸಭಾನಳಿದ್ದರ ನಾ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲರ್ ನಮಃ
1932 33 ನೇ ಮಾಸಿಕ ಲಿಖಿತ ನಂ 142 ನೇ ಸುಕ್ತಿ
ಸಾ ರಿ. The Hon municipal Council Bha-
dravati by the Vice President
V V Iyer
V V ~~Aiyar~~ Bhadravati
ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ನೆಲ್ಸನ್ ಸ್ಟ್ರಂಗ್ ಹೆಳ್ಳ ನಮೋನಮಃ ಕಾಲ್ಪನೆ
ಮಾ ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟ ನಮಃ ಲಿಖಿತಂ.

ಸಾ ರಿ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಾರ್ ಲಿಖಿತಂ ಮಾಂಸ ಕೆಲ್ಲ
ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ನಮ್ಮ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಮಾರಿ ಮಾಡ್ಲು ಮಾಡ್ಲು
ವೆಂಕಟಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಮಾರಿ ಮಾಡ್ಲು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ
ಪ್ರಿಂಟರ್ ಸಾರಿ ನಮ್ಮ ಸಾರಿ ನಮ್ಮ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ಮಾಡ್ಲು 605/
ರೂ ಮಾಂಸ ಸಾರಿ 151-2-ಕೆಲ ಮಾಡ್ಲು 762-2-
ರೂ ಮಾಂಸ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ಮಾಡ್ಲು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಾರಿ ನಮ್ಮ
ಕೆ ಮಾಂಸ ನಮ್ಮ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಮಾರಿ ಮಾಡ್ಲು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಕೆಲ್ಲ ರು
ಲ್ಲರ ಕೆಲ್ಲ ಸಾರಿ ನಾ ಸಾರಿ ಮಾಡ್ಲು 5-10-32
ಕೆ ಸಾ ಜ್ಞ ರು ಕೆ ಮಾಡ್ಲು ನಮ್ಮ ಲಿಖಿತ
ಶಿವಕುಮಾರ ಸಾಲ್ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಾ Narayana murthy Engineer

Copy applied for on the	Applicant told to appear on the	Applicant appeared on the	Copying stopped for want of copying sheets on the	Additional copying sheets supplied on the

Copy ready on the	Copy delivered on the	Copied by	Examined by

B A L L B, Additional Munsiff
ರವರಿಂದ ಹಾಗೂ ದಾವೆಯನ್ನು ಕೈಬಿಟ್ಟ ವಾದಿ M/s T.
Venkata Subba Sastry ಅವರಿಂದಲೂ ಹಾಗೂ
ಅವರ ಪರವಾಗಿ ವಾದಿ M/s R K Jayalakshmacharlu
ಪ್ರಮಾಣಪತ್ರ ಅವರ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳನ್ನು ಬಿಸಿ
ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯಾದ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳಂತೆ ಹೇಳಲಾಗಿದೆಯೆಂದು
ಹಾಗೂ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರು ಹಾಜರಾಗಿದ್ದರೂ ಸಹ
ಅವರ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಯಾರೂ ವಕೀಲರುಗಳಾಗಲೀ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳಾಗಲೀ
ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಅವರಿಂದ ಯಾವ ಪ್ರಮಾಣಪತ್ರವೂ ಇಲ್ಲದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ
ಅವರ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಯಾವ ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯೂ ಇಲ್ಲದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ
ಹೇಳಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ತೀರ್ಪು ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

16 ನೇ ಜನವರಿ ಸನ್ 1935 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಪರಿ-

(Signed) H S Narayana Murthy
Additional Munsiff

ಈ ತೀರ್ಪನ್ನು ಅವರಿಂದಲೇ ಅವರ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಬರೆಯಲ್ಪಟ್ಟು
ಈ ದಿನ ಪರಿಚಯ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಪರಿಚಯ — ಸಹಿ ಪರಿಚಯ

ಹಾಗೂ ಈ ತೀರ್ಪಿನ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಕೋರಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

TRUE COPY
SHIMOGA

Copy applied for on the	Applicant told to appear on the	Applicant appeared on the	Copying stopped for want of copying sheets on the	Additional copying sheets supplied on the

Copy ready on the	Copy delivered on the	Copied by	Examined by

ಕರಂಟಲು ತೆಗೆದಾಕಿದ ಕೂಲಿಯು	0-6-0	0-8-0
ಸಾಗಾಟ ಖರ್ಚು	0-0-0	0-12-0
ಬಾವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬಿದ್ದ ಮೋಟಾರ್ ಪಂಪು ಸೆಟ್ ಅನ್ನು ಮೇಲಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಗೆಯಲು ಕೂಲಿ	0-0-0	0-0-0
ಮೋಟಾರ್ ಪಂಪು ಸೆಟ್ ಕೂಲಿ	2-3-0	0-7-0
ಮೋಟಾರ್ ಪಂಪು ಸೆಟ್ ಸಾಗಾಟ	0-0-0	11-0-0 4-0-0
ಕಳ್ಳತನದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಳವಾದ ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳು	0-0-0	0-0-0
ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಕಳವಾದ ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾರಿದ್ದಾಗಿ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಹಣ	0-0-0	0-0-0
ತಪ್ಪಿಗಳು ಕಳವಾದ ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳನ್ನು ಪತ್ತೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ	0-0-0	0-0-0
ಪೈಕಿ ತರ ಇತರೆ	38-1-0	38-1-0
ಒಟ್ಟು ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳು	127-12-0	54-12-0

(ಆರ್) ಕ್ಯೂ ಎ ಎನ್
Additional Munsiff

MUNSIFF SHIMOGA

True copy
Head munshi

C a
66

mr. D Venkata Subba sastry
advocate

Applicant told

Copy applied for on the	Applicant told to appear on the	Applicant appeared on the	Copying stopped for want of copying sheets on the	Additional copying sheets supplied on the
18/7/35	26/8/35	26/8/35	on 20-7-35 Copy due Stuck 30/7/35	16/8/35

Copy ready on the	Copy delivered on the	Copied by	Examined by
26/8/35	26/8/35		